

Store KF 534 M45 1976

Mendes da Costa, Derek Materials on the Divorce act. 1976-1977 ed.



# MATERIALS ON THE DIVORCE ACT

D. Mendes da Costa Faculty of Law University of Toronto

1976 - 1977

Faculty of Law University of Toronto Toronto, Ontario. Store

## PREFACE

These materials are prepared for teaching purposes only.
They are provided only for the purpose of discussion in class.
They are to be used for no other purpose. This material does not purport to be comprehensive or exhaustive.



## PART I

## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

	*
Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law	1.1.1
CHAPTER II	
TRANSITIONAL AND REPEAL	
Section 1 THE STATUTE	
Transitional and Repeal	2.1.1
Section 2 REPEAL	
Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law Rose v. Rose Hurson v. Hurson Anderson v. Anderson Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law	2.2.1 2.2.3 2.2.6 2.2.7 2.2.9
Section 3 TRANSITIONAL	
Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law Jackson v. Jackson Blane v. Blane	2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.4
CHAPTER III	
STATISTICAL INFORMATION	
Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law -	
CHAPTER IV	
JURISDICTION	
Section 1 GENERAL	
Problem The Statute (as amended)	4.1.1 4.1.2
Section 2 THE REQUIREMENTS OF DOMICILE	
Khalifa v. Khalifa Armstrong v. Armstrong	4.2.1 4.2.3
Section 3 CANADIAN DOMICILE	
Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law Jablonowski v. Jablonowski	4.3.1 4.3.3
Section 4 DOMICILE OF THE WIFE	
Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law Geldart v. Geldart Cagnoni v. Cagnoni Dimitrijevic v. Dimitrijevic	4.4.1 4.4.3 4.4.5 4.4.6
Section 5 POINT OF TIME DOMICILE MUST EXIST	

Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law

Weston v. Weston

4.5.1

4.5.2

Section 6 THE REQUIREMENTS OF RESIDENCE	
Four O'clock Series, Family Law Cullen v. Cullen	4.6.1 4.6.10
Section 7 ORDINARILY RESIDENT	
Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law Hardy v. Hardy Marsellus v. Marsellus Giradin v. Giradin Zoldester v. Zoldester Macpherson v. Macpherson Section 8 ACTUALLY RESIDENT	4.7.1 4.7.3 4.7.5 4.7.6 4.7.9 4.7.11
Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law Wood v. Wood Hardy v. Hardy Marsellus v. Marsellus Giradin v. Giradin Macpherson v. Macpherson Section 9 PURPOSE OF RESIDENCE QUALIFICATIONS	4.8.1 4.8.4 4.8.5 4.8.6 4.8.8 4.8.9
Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law	4.9.1
Section 10 CONCURRENT PROCEEDINGS	
Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law Barlow v. Barlow	4.10.1 4.10.3
Section 11 COUNTER-PETITION	
Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law Boyce v. Boyce Force v. Force The Judicature Act Section 12 WHERE PETITION OPPOSED	4.11.1 4.11.2 4.11.3 4.11.6
Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law Johnston v. Johnston	4.12.1 4.12.4
CHAPTER 5	
RECOGNITION OF FOREIGN DIVORCES	
Section 1 THE COMMON LAW RECOGNITION RULES	• •
Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law	5.1.1
Section 2 SECTION 6(2) OF THE DIVORCE ACT	
Four O'clock Series, Family Law Rowland v. Rowland Bevington v. Hewitson MacNeill v. MacNeill La Carte v. La Carte	5.2.1 5.2.11 5.2.22 5.2.27 <b>5</b> .2.30
CHAPTER 6	
POLYGAMOUS MARRIAGES	
Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law Lim v. Lim Re Quon Hassan v. Hassan The Matrimonial Proceedings (Polygamous Marriages) Act 1972 The Matrimonial Causes Act The Matrimonial Causes Act 1959 (Aust.)	6.1.1 6.1.2 6.1.5 6.1.8 6.1.16 6.1.18

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2018 with funding from University of Toronto

## CHAPTER 7

## RECONCILIATION

Section I GENER	ction	1	GENERAL
-----------------	-------	---	---------

...

Problem Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law Conciliation Counselling in Divorce Litigation	7.1.1 7.1.2 7.1.3
Section 2 DUTY OF LEGAL ADVISER	
The Statute Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law Canadian Bar Bulletin	7.2.1 7.2.2 7.2.3
Section 3 DUTY OF THE COURT	
The Statute Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law Paskiewich v. Paskiewich Miller v. Miller	7.3.1 7.3.2 7.3.4 7.3.5
Section 4 CONCLUSION	
Mendes da Costa, Studies in Canadian Family Law	7.4.1
Section 5 EVIDENCE	
The Statute Robson v. Robson Cronkwright v. Cronkwright Sopinka and Lederman, The Law of Evidence in Civil Cases	7.5.1 7.5.2 7.5.5 7.5.7

